ON IMMERSION FORMULAS FOR SOLITON SURFACES

Alfred Michel Grundland^{a, b, *}, Decio Levi^c, Luigi Martina^d

^a Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, Montréal CP 6128 (QC) H3C 3J7, Canada

- ^b Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Université du Québec, Trois-Rivières, CP 500 (QC) G9A 5H7, Canada
- ^c Dipartimento di Mathematica e Fisica dell'Università Roma Tre, Sezione INFN di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, Roma, 00146 Italy
- ^d Dipartimento di Mathematica e Fisica dell'Università del Salento, Sezione INFN di Lecce, Via Arnesano, C.P. 193 Lecce, 73100 Italy

* corresponding author: grundlan@crm.umontreal.ca

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to a study of the connections between three different analytic descriptions for the immersion functions of 2D-surfaces corresponding to the following three types of symmetries: gauge symmetries of the linear spectral problem, conformal transformations in the spectral parameter and generalized symmetries of the associated integrable system. After a brief exposition of the theory of soliton surfaces and of the main tool used to study classical and generalized Lie symmetries, we derive the necessary and sufficient conditions under which the immersion formulas associated with these symmetries are linked by gauge transformations. We illustrate the theoretical results by examples involving the sigma model.

KEYWORDS: integrable systems; soliton surfaces; immersion formulas; generalized symmetries.

AMS MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 35Q35, 22E60, 53A05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soliton surfaces associated with integrable systems have been shown to play an essential role in many problems with physical applications (see e.g. [2, 5– 9, 11-13, 15, 19, 29, 30, 33-35). We say that a surface is integrable if the Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations corresponding to it are integrable, i.e. if they can be represented as the compatibility conditions for some "non-fake" Linear Spectral Problem (LSP) [2, 3, 5, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24–26, 31–35]. The possibility of using an LSP to represent a moving frame on the integrable surface has yielded many new results concerning the intrinsic geometric properties of such surfaces (see e.g. [4, 29]). In the present state of development, it has proved most fruitful to extend such characterizations of soliton surfaces via their immersion functions (see e.g. [1, 2, 19, 23, 27, 30] and references therein).

The construction of surfaces related to completely integrable models was initiated by A. Sym [33–35]. This construction makes use of the conformal invariance of the zero-curvature representation of the system with respect to the spectral parameter. Another approach for finding such surfaces has been formulated by J. Cieslinski and A. Doliwa [5–7] using gauge symmetries of the LSP. A third approach, using the LSP for integrable systems and their symmetries has been introduced by Fokas and Gel'fand [8, 9], to construct families of soliton surfaces. Most recently, in a series of papers [11–13, 15], a reformulation and extension of the Fokas-Gel'fand immersion formula has been performed through the formalism of generalized vector fields and their actions on jet spaces. This extension has provided the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of soliton surfaces in terms of the symmetries of the LSP and integrable models. The objective of this paper is to investigate and construct the relation between the three approaches concerning 2D-soliton surfaces associated with integrable systems.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a brief summary of the results concerning the construction of soliton surfaces and symmetries. Using the Sym-Tafel (ST) formula, we get the surface by differentiating the solution of the LSP with respect to the spectral parameter. Through the Cieslinski-Doliwa (CD) formula we apply a gauge transformation and through the Fokas-Gel'fand (FG) formula we consider the generalized symmetries of the associated integrable equation. In Section 3 we demonstrate that the immersion problem can be mapped through a gauge to any of the three immersion formulas listed above and we show that these formulas correspond to possibly different parametrizations of the same surface. Then, in Section 4, we apply the results on the example of the sigma model. Section 5 contains the concluding remarks.

2. Summary of results on the construction of soliton surfaces

In this section we recall the main tools used to study symmetries suitable for the use of Fokas-Gel'fand formulas for the construction of 2D surfaces. We make use of the formalism of vector fields and their prolongations as presented in [29]. More specifically, we rewrite the formula for the immersion functions of 2D surfaces in terms of the prolongation formalism of the vector fields instead of the Fréchet derivatives.

2.1. CLASSICAL AND GENERALIZED LIE SYMMETRIES

Let X (with coordinates x_{α} , $\alpha = 1, \ldots, p$) and \mathcal{U} (with coordinates u^k , $k = 1, \ldots, q$) be differential manifolds representing spaces of independent and dependent variables, respectively. Let $J^n = J^n(X \times \mathcal{U})$ denote the *n*-jet space over $X \times \mathcal{U}$. The coordinates of J^n are given by x_{α} , u^k and $u_J^k = \frac{\partial^n u^k}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_n}}$ where $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_n)$ is a symmetric multi-index. We denote these coordinates by x and $u^{(n)}$. On J^n we can define a system of partial differential equations (PDEs) in p independent and q dependent variables given by m equations of the form

$$\Omega^{\mu}(x, u^{(n)}) = 0, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, m.$$
 (2.1)

We consider a vector field v tangent to $J^0 = X \times \mathcal{U}$

$$v = \xi^{\alpha}(x, u)\partial_{\alpha} + \varphi^{k}(x, u)\partial_{k}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\partial_{\alpha} = \partial/\partial x_{\alpha}$, $\partial_k = \partial/\partial u^k$ and we adopt the summation convention over repeated indices. Such a field defines vector fields, $pr^{(n)}v$ on J^n [29]

$$\operatorname{pr}^{(n)} v = \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} + \varphi_J^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_J^k}.$$
 (2.3)

The functions φ_J^k are given by

$$\varphi_J^k = D_J R^k + \xi^\alpha u_{J,\alpha}^k, \qquad R^k = \varphi^k - \xi^\alpha u_\alpha^k, \quad (2.4)$$

where the operators D_J correspond to multiple total derivatives, each of which is a combination of total derivatives of the form

$$D_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} + u_{J,\alpha}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{J}^{k}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p$$
 (2.5)

and \mathbb{R}^k are the so-called characteristics of the vector field v. In the following, the representation of v can be written equivalently as

$$v = \xi^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} + \omega_R, \qquad \omega_R = R^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}.$$
 (2.6)

One says that the vector field v is a classical Lie point symmetry of a nondegenerate system of PDEs (2.1) if and only if its *n*-th prolongation of v is such that

$$\operatorname{pr}^{(n)} v \Omega^{\mu}(x, u^{(n)}) = 0, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, m$$
 (2.7)

whenever $\Omega^{\mu}(x, u^{(n)}) = 0$, $\mu = 1, \ldots, m$ are satisfied. By a totally non-degenerate system we mean a system for which all their prolongations have maximal rank and are locally solvable [29]. It follows from the wellknown properties of the symmetries of a differential system that the commutator of two symmetries is again a symmetry. Thus, such symmetries form a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , which locally defines an action of a Lie group G on J^0 .

Every solution of (2.1) can be represented by its graph, $u^k = \theta^k(x)$, which is a section of J^0 . The symmetry group G transforms solutions into solutions. This means that a graph corresponding to one solution is transformed into a graph associated with another solution. If the graph is preserved by the group G or equivalently, if the vector fields v from the algebra \mathfrak{g} are tangent to the graph, then the related solution is said to be G-invariant. Invariant solutions satisfy, in addition to the equations (2.1), the characteristic equations equated to zero

$$\varphi_a^k(x,\theta) - \xi_a^\alpha(x,\theta)\theta_{,\alpha}^k = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (2.8)$$

where the index a runs over the generators of \mathfrak{g} .

It may happen that invariant solutions are restricted in number or trivial if the full symmetry group is small. To extend the number of symmetries, and thus of solutions, one looks for generalized symmetries. They exist only if the nonlinear equation (2.1) is integrable [28], i.e. it has been obtained as the compatibility of a Lax pair (see (2.13) and in the following). A generalized vector field is expressed in terms of the characteristics

$$\omega_R = R^k[u] \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k},\tag{2.9}$$

where $[u] = (x, u^{(n)}) \in J^n$. The prolongation of an evolutionary vector field ω_R is given by

$$\operatorname{pr}\omega_R = \omega_R + D_J R^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_J^k}.$$
 (2.10)

A vector field ω_R is a generalized symmetry of a nondegenerated system of PDEs (2.1) if and only if [29]

$$\operatorname{pr}\omega_R \Omega^{\mu}(x, u^{(n)}) = 0, \qquad (2.11)$$

whenever $\Omega(x, u^{(n)}) = 0$ and its differential consequences are satisfied.

2.2. The immersion formulas for soliton surfaces

In order to analyse the Fokas-Gel'fand immersion formula for a surface in 2D, we briefly summarize the results obtained in [2, 5–9, 11–13, 15, 33–35].

Let us consider an integrable system of partial differential equations (PDEs) in two independent variables x_1, x_2 and m dependent variables $u^k(x_1, x_2)$ written as

$$\Omega[u] = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Suppose that the system (2.12) is obtained as the compatibility of a matrix LSP written in the form [33]

$$\partial_{\alpha}\Phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) - U_{\alpha}([u], \lambda)\Phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 0,$$

$$\alpha = 1, 2. \quad (2.13)$$

In what follows, the potential matrices U_{α} can be defined on the extended jet space $\mathcal{N} = (J^n, \lambda)$, where λ is the spectral parameter. The compatibility condition of the LSP (2.13), often called the Zero-Curvature Condition (ZCC)

$$D_2U_1 - D_1U_2 + [U_1, U_2] = 0, (2.14)$$

which is assumed to be valid for all values of λ , implies (2.12). The bracket in (2.14) denotes the Lie algebra commutator. Equation (2.14) provides a representation for the initial system (2.12) under consideration. The *m*-dimensional matrix functions U_{α} take values in some semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and the wavefunction Φ takes values in the corresponding Lie group G. We can say that, as long as the potential matrices $U_{\alpha}([u], \lambda)$ satisfy the ZCC (2.14), there exists a group-valued function Φ which satisfies (2.13). There exists a subclass of Φ which can be defined formally on the extended jet space \mathcal{N} . For Φ belonging to this subclass we can write formally $\Phi = \Phi([u], \lambda) \in G$, meaning that Φ depends functionally on [u] and meromorphically in λ . When $\Phi = \Phi([u], \lambda)$ the LSP (2.13) can be written as

$$\Lambda_{\alpha}([u],\lambda) \equiv D_{\alpha}\Phi([u],\lambda) - U_{\alpha}([u],\lambda)\Phi([u],\lambda) = 0,$$

$$\alpha = 1, 2, \quad (2.15)$$

which is a convenient form for the analysis we carry out in the following.

In reference [9], the authors looked for a simultaneous infinitesimal deformation of the associated LSP (2.15) which preserves the ZCC (2.14)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U}_1\\ \tilde{U}_2\\ \tilde{\Phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1\\ U_2\\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} A_1\\ A_2\\ \Psi \end{pmatrix} + O(\epsilon^2), \qquad (2.16)$$

where the matrices \tilde{U}_1 , \tilde{U}_2 , A_1 and A_2 take values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , while $\tilde{\Phi}$ and Ψ belong to the corresponding Lie group G. The parameter λ is left invariant under the transformation (2.16) and $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. The corresponding infinitesimal generator formally takes the evolutionary form

$$\hat{X}_e = A^1 \partial_{U_1} + A^2 \partial_{U_2} + \Psi \partial_{\Phi}. \qquad (2.17)$$

Equation (2.17) can be written as

$$\hat{X}_{\epsilon} = A^{1j} \partial_{U_1^j} + A^{2j} \partial_{U_2^j} + \Psi^j \partial_{\Phi^j}, \qquad (2.18)$$

where we decompose the matrix functions A^1 and A^2 in the basis e_j , j = 1, ..., s for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$$U_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}^{j} e_{j} \in \mathfrak{g}, \qquad [e_{i}, e_{j}] = c_{ij}^{k} e_{k}, \qquad (2.19)$$

where [,] is the Lie algebra commutator and c_{ij}^k are the structural constants of \mathfrak{g} . Since this generator \hat{X}_e does not transform λ , these symmetries preserve the singularity structure of the potential matrices U_{α} in the spectral parameter λ . The infinitesimal

 U_{α} in the spectral parameter λ . The infinitesimal deformation of the LSP (2.13) and the ZCC (2.14) under the infinitesimal transformation (2.16) requires that the matrix functions U_{α} and Ψ satisfy, at first order in ϵ , the equations

$$D_{\alpha}\Psi = U_{\alpha}\Psi + A_{\alpha}\Phi, \quad \alpha = 1,2 \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$D_2A_1 - D_1A_2 + [A_1, U_2] + [U_1, A_2] = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

The equation (2.21) coincides with the compatibility condition for (2.20). For the given matrix functions $U_{\alpha}, A_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\Phi \in G$ satisfying equations (2.14), (2.15) and (2.21), an infinitesimal symmetry of the matrix system of the integrable PDEs (2.12) allows us to generate a 2D-surface immersed in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . According to [8] this result is formulated as follows.

Theorem 1. If the matrix functions $U_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $\Phi \in G$ of the LSP (2.15) satisfy the ZCC (2.14) and $A_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}$ are linearly independent matrix functions which satisfy (2.21) and $\Phi \in G$ satisfies the LSP (2.15), then there exists (up to affine transformations) a 2D-surface with a \mathfrak{g} -valued immersion function $F([u], \lambda)$ such that the tangent vectors to this surface are given by

$$D_{\alpha}F([u],\lambda) = \Phi^{-1}A_{\alpha}([u],\lambda)\Phi, \quad \alpha = 1,2. \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. The compatibility condition of (2.22) coincides with (2.21). So an immersion function $F([u], \lambda)$ exists and can be assumed to take its values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . If we define the matrix function

$$\Psi = \Phi F, \tag{2.23}$$

then, using (2.22), the function Ψ satisfies (2.20). Hence, since $F = \Phi^{-1}\Psi$, the formula $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi + \varepsilon \Psi = \Phi(\mathbb{I} + \varepsilon F)$ implies that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is in the Lie group G. The immersion function

$$F = \left(\Phi^{-1}([u], \lambda) \frac{d\tilde{\Phi}([u], \lambda, \epsilon)}{d\epsilon} \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0}$$
(2.24)

is an element of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . This shows that we have constructed an appropriate infinitesimal deformation of the wavefunction Φ .

In [5, 33] it was shown that the admissible symmetries of the ZCC (2.14) include a conformal transformation of the spectral parameter λ , a gauge transformation of the wavefunction Φ in the LSP (2.13) and generalized symmetries of the integrable system (2.12). All these symmetries can be used to determine explicitly a g-valued immersion function F of a 2Dsurface. Thus, a generalization of the FG formula for immersion can be formulated as follows [9, 11]. **Theorem 2.** Let the set of scalar functions $\{u^k\}$ satisfy a system of integrable PDEs $\Omega[u] = 0$. Let the *G*-valued function $\Phi([u], \lambda)$ satisfy the LSP (2.15) of \mathfrak{g} valued potentials $U_{\alpha}([u], \lambda)$. Let us define the linearly independent \mathfrak{g} -valued matrix functions $A_{\alpha}([u], \lambda)$ ($\alpha =$ 1,2) by the equations

$$A_{\alpha}([u], \lambda) = \beta(\lambda) D_{\lambda} U_{\alpha} + (D_{\alpha} S + [S, U_{\alpha}]) + \operatorname{pr} \omega_{R} U_{\alpha} + (\operatorname{pr} \omega_{R} (D_{\alpha} \Phi - U_{\alpha} \Phi)) \Phi^{-1}. \quad (2.25)$$

Here $\beta(\lambda)$ is an arbitrary scalar function of λ , $S = S([u], \lambda)$ is an arbitrary \mathfrak{g} -valued matrix function defined on the jet space $\mathcal{N}, \omega_R = R^k[u]\partial_{u^k}$ is the vector field, written in evolutionary form, of the generalized symmetries of the integrable PDEs $\Omega[u] = 0$ given by the ZCC (2.14). Then there exists a 2D-surface with immersion function $F([u], \lambda)$ in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} given by the formula (up to an additive \mathfrak{g} -valued constant)

$$F([u],\lambda) = \Phi^{-1} \left(\beta(\lambda)D_{\lambda}\Phi + S\Phi + \operatorname{pr}\omega_{R}\Phi\right). \quad (2.26)$$

The integrated form of the surface (2.26) defines a mapping $F: \mathcal{N} \to \mathfrak{g}$ and we will refer to it as the ST immersion formula (when $S = 0, \omega_R = 0$) [33–35]

$$F^{ST}([u],\lambda) = \beta(\lambda)\Phi^{-1}(D_{\lambda}\Phi) \in \mathfrak{g}, \qquad (2.27)$$

the CD immersion formula (when $\beta = \omega_R = 0$) [5–7]

$$F^{CD}([u],\lambda) = \Phi^{-1}S([u],\lambda)\Phi \in \mathfrak{g}, \qquad (2.28)$$

or the FG immersion formula (when $\beta = 0, S = 0$) [8, 9]

$$F^{FG}([u],\lambda) = \Phi^{-1}(\operatorname{pr}\omega_R \Phi) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$
 (2.29)

2.3. Application of the method

The construction of soliton surfaces requires three elements for an explicit representation of the immersion function $F \in \mathfrak{g}$:

- (1.) An LSP (2.13) for the integrable PDE.
- (2.) A generalized symmetry ω_R of the integrable PDE.
- (3.) A solution Φ of the LSP associated with the soliton solution of the integrable PDE.

Note that item (1.) is always required. In its presence, even without one of the remaining two objects, we can obtain an immersion function F.

When a solution Φ of the LSP is unknown, the geometry of the surface F can be obtained using the non-degenerate Killing form on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The 2D-surface with the immersion function F can be interpreted as a pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

When the generalized symmetries ω_R of the integrable PDE are unknown but we know a solution Φ of the LSP then we can define the 2D-soliton surface using the gauge transformation and the λ -invariance of the ZCC

$$F = \Phi^{-1}(\beta(\lambda)D_{\lambda}\Phi + S\Phi), \qquad (2.30)$$

where $\beta(\lambda)$ is an arbitrary scalar function of λ and S is an arbitrary g-valued matrix function defined on the extended jet space \mathcal{N} . Equation (2.30) is consistent with the tangent vectors

$$D_{\alpha}F = \beta(\lambda)\Phi^{-1}(D_{\lambda}U_{\alpha}) + \Phi^{-1}(D_{\alpha}S + [S,U_{\alpha}])\Phi.$$
(2.31)

In all cases, the tangent vectors, given by (2.22), and the unit normal vector to a 2D-surface expressed in terms of matrices are

$$D_{\alpha}F = \Phi^{-1}A_{\alpha}\Phi \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad N = \frac{\Phi^{-1}[A_1, A_2]\Phi}{(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}[A_1, A_2]^2)^{1/2}} \in \mathfrak{g},$$
(2.32)

where the \mathfrak{g} -valued matrices A_{α} are given by (2.25). The first and second fundamental forms are given by

$$I = g_{ij} dx_i dx_j, \quad II = b_{ij} dx_i dx_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A_i A_j),$$

$$b_{ij} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \operatorname{tr}((D_j A_i + [A_i, U_j])N), \quad \epsilon = \pm 1. \quad (2.34)$$

This gives the following expressions for the mean and Gaussian curvatures

$$H = \frac{1}{\Delta} \Big(\operatorname{tr}(A_2^2) \operatorname{tr}((D_1A_1 + [A_1, U_1])N) \\ - 8 \operatorname{tr}(A_1A_2) \operatorname{tr}((D_2A_1 + [A_1, U_2])N) \\ + \operatorname{tr}(A_1^2) \operatorname{tr}((D_2A_2 + [A_2, U_2])N) \Big),$$

$$K = \frac{1}{\Delta} \Big(\operatorname{tr}((D_1A_1 + [A_1, U_1])N) \\ \cdot \operatorname{tr}((D_2A_2 + [A_2, U_2])N) \\ - 2 \operatorname{tr}^2((D_2A_1 + [A_1, U_2])N) \Big),$$

$$\Delta = \operatorname{tr}(A_1^2) \operatorname{tr}(A_2^2) - 4 \operatorname{tr}(A_1A_2), \qquad (2.35)$$

which are expressible in terms of U_{α} and A_{α} only.

The study of soliton surfaces defined via the FG formula for immersion provides a unique mechanism for studying the relationship between these various characteristics of integrable systems. For example, do the infinite families of conservation laws have a geometric characterization? What is the geometry behind the Hamiltonian structure? Is there a geometric interpretation of the family of surfaces and frames associated with the spectral parameter? These answers will not only serve to construct surfaces with interesting geometric quantities but can also help to clarify some problems in the theory of integrable system.

Following the three terms in (2.26) for the immersion of 2D-soliton surfaces in Lie algebras, we now show that there exists a relation between the Sym-Tafel, the Cieslinski-Doliwa and the Fokas-Gel'fand formulas.

3. MAPPING BETWEEN THE SYM-TAFEL, THE CIESLINSKI-DOLIWA AND THE FOKAS-GEL'FAND IMMERSION FORMULAS

3.1. λ -conformal symmetries and gauge transformations

In this subsection we show that the ST immersion formula can always be represented by a gauge transformation through the CD formula for immersion. The converse statement is also true: from a specific gauge it is always possible to determine the ST immersion formula for soliton surfaces.

Proposition 1. A symmetry of the ZCC (2.14) of the LSP associated with an integrable system $\Omega[u] = 0$ is a λ -conformal symmetry if and only if there exists a g-valued matrix function $S_1 = S_1([u], \lambda)$ which is a solution of the system of differential equations

$$D_{\alpha}S_1 + [S_1, U_{\alpha}] = \beta(\lambda)D_{\lambda}U_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$$
(3.1)

Proof. First we show that for any λ -conformal symmetry of the ZCC of the LSP associated with the integrable system $\Omega[u] = 0$, there exists a g-valued matrix function $S_1 = S_1([u], \lambda)$ which is a solution of the system of differential equations (3.1). Indeed, the linearly independent g-valued matrix functions

$$A_{\alpha}([u],\lambda) = \beta(\lambda)D_{\lambda}U_{\alpha}([u],\lambda), \qquad (3.2)$$

associated with the λ -conformal symmetry of the ZCC (2.14) satisfy the infinitesimal deformation of the ZCC (2.21) and the corresponding ST immersion function is

$$F^{ST}([u],\lambda) = \beta(\lambda)\Phi^{-1}D_{\lambda}\Phi \in \mathfrak{g}, \qquad (3.3)$$

with linearly independent tangent vectors

$$D_{\alpha}F^{ST} = \beta(\lambda)\Phi^{-1}(D_{\lambda}U_{\alpha})\Phi, \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$$
(3.4)

On the other hand any \mathfrak{g} -valued matrix function can be written as the adjoint group action on its Lie algebra. This implies the existence of a \mathfrak{g} -valued matrix function $S_1([u], \lambda)$ for which the ST immersion formula (3.3) is the CD formula, i.e.

$$F^{CD}([u],\lambda) = \Phi^{-1}S_1([u],\lambda)\Phi \in \mathfrak{g}, \qquad (3.5)$$

whose tangent vectors are found to be

$$D_{\alpha}F^{CD} = \Phi^{-1} (D_{\alpha}S_1 + [S_1, U_{\alpha}])\Phi, \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$$
 (3.6)

By comparing the tangent vectors (3.4) and (3.6) we obtain (3.1). It remains to show that the system (3.1) is a solvable one. Indeed, from the compatibility condition of (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\lambda) D_2(D_\lambda U_1) &- \beta(\lambda) D_1(D_\lambda U_2) \\ &- \left[\beta(\lambda) D_\lambda U_2 - [S_1, U_2], U_1 \right] - [S_1, D_2 U_1] \\ &+ \left[\beta(\lambda) D_\lambda U_1 - [S_1, U_1], U_2 \right] + [S_1, D_1 U_2] = 0, \end{aligned}$$
(3.7)

which has to be satisfied whenever (3.1) holds. Using the ZCC (2.14) and the Jacobi identity it is easy to show that (3.7) is identically satisfied. So if we can find a gauge $S_1([u], \lambda)$ which satisfies (3.1), then the ST immersion formula (3.3) can always be represented by a gauge.

Conversely, we show that for any g-valued matrix function S_1 defined as a solution of the system of PDEs (3.1), there exists a λ -conformal symmetry of the ZCC of the LSP associated with the integrable system $\Omega[u] = 0$. Indeed, comparing the immersion formulas (3.3) with (3.5) we find a linear matrix equation for the wavefunction Φ

$$\beta(\lambda)D_{\lambda}\Phi = S_1([u],\lambda)\Phi.$$
(3.8)

If the gauge function $S_1([u], \lambda)$ is known, by solving (3.8) we can determine the wavefunction Φ and consequently obtain the ST immersion formula for 2D-soliton surfaces. Therefore, the ST formula for immersion (2.27) is equivalent to the CD immersion formula (2.28) for the gauge S_1 , which satisfies differential equation (3.1).

3.2. Generalized symmetries and gauge transformations

In this subsection we discuss the links between gauge transformations and generalized symmetries of the ZCC associated with the integrable partial differential system $\Omega[u] = 0$. We show that the immersion formula associated with the generalized symmetries (2.29) can always be obtained by a gauge transformation and the converse statement is also true.

Proposition 2. A vector field ω_R is a generalized symmetry of the ZCC (2.14) of the LSP associated with an integrable system $\Omega[u] = 0$ if and only if there exists a g-valued matrix function (gauge) $S_2 = S_2([u], \lambda)$ which is a solution of the system of differential equations

$$D_{\alpha}S_{2} + [S_{2}, U_{\alpha}] = \operatorname{pr} \omega_{R}U_{\alpha} + \left(\operatorname{pr} \omega_{R}(D_{\alpha}\Phi - U_{\alpha}\Phi)\right)\Phi^{-1}.$$
 (3.9)

Proof. First we demonstrate that for every infinitesimal generator ω_R which is a generalized symmetry of the ZCC (2.14), there exists a g-valued matrix function (gauge) $S_2 = S_2([u], \lambda)$ which is a solution of the system of differential equations (3.9). Indeed an evolutionary vector field ω_R is a generalized symmetry of the ZCC (2.14) if and only if

$$\operatorname{pr}\omega_R (D_2 U_1 - D_1 U_2 + [U_1, U_2]) = 0, \qquad (3.10)$$

whenever the ZCC (2.14) holds. Equation (3.10) is equivalent to the infinitesimal deformation of the ZCC (2.14) given by (2.21), with linearly independent \mathfrak{g} valued matrix functions

$$A_{\alpha}([u], \lambda) = \operatorname{pr} \omega_{R} U_{\alpha} + \left(\operatorname{pr} \omega_{R} (D_{\alpha} \Phi - U_{\alpha} \Phi) \right) \Phi^{-1}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \quad (3.11)$$

In the derivation of (3.11) we use the fact that the total derivatives D_{α} commute with the prolongation of a vector field ω_R written in the evolutionary form [29]

$$[D_{\alpha}, \operatorname{pr} \omega_R] = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \tag{3.12}$$

Using the LSP (2.15), equation (3.11) can be written in the equivalent form

$$A_{\alpha}([u], \lambda) = \left[-U_{\alpha}(\operatorname{pr}\omega_{R}\Phi) + \operatorname{pr}\omega_{R}(D_{\alpha}\Phi) \right] \Phi^{-1}.$$

$$\alpha = 1, 2 \quad (3.13)$$

Substituting (3.13) into (2.21) we obtain

$$(-D_2U_1 + D_1U_2 - [U_1, U_2])(\operatorname{pr}\omega_R\Phi)\Phi^{-1} = 0,$$

which is satisfied identically whenever the ZCC (2.14) holds.

An integrated form of the immersion function $F^{FG}([u], \lambda)$ of a 2D-surface associated with a generalized symmetry ω_R of the ZCC (2.14) and the tangent vectors (2.22) is given by the FG formula

$$F^{FG}([u],\lambda) = \Phi^{-1}(\operatorname{pr}\omega_R\Phi) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$
 (3.14)

The fact that any \mathfrak{g} -valued matrix function can be written under the adjoint group action implies that there exists a \mathfrak{g} -valued gauge S_2 , such that (3.5) holds for the CD immersion function and its tangent vectors $D_{\alpha}F^{CD}$ given by (3.6). Comparing equations (2.22) and (3.11) with (3.6) we get (3.9).

Let us show that the system (3.9) always possesses a solution. The compatibility condition of (3.9), whenever (3.9) and (2.21) hold, implies the relation

$$[S_2, D_2U_1 - D_1U_2] + [[S_2, U_1], U_2] - [[S_2, U_2], U_1] = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

which is identically satisfied in view of the ZCC (2.14) and the Jacobi identity. So, if we can find a gauge function $S_2([u], \lambda)$ which satisfies (3.9), then the FG formula (3.14) can always be represented by a gauge transformation.

The converse statement is also true. We show that for any \mathfrak{g} -valued matrix function S_2 defined as a solution of the system of PDEs (3.9), there exists a generalized symmetry ω_R of the ZCC of the LSP associated with $\Omega[u] = 0$. Indeed, let the LSP of $\Omega[u] = 0$ admit a gauge symmetry. If the gauge $S_2([u], \lambda)$ is given, then the immersion function F^{CD} of a 2D-surface can be integrated explicitly [5–7]

$$F^{CD}([u],\lambda) = \Phi^{-1}S_2([u],\lambda)\Phi \in \mathfrak{g}, \qquad (3.16)$$

whenever the tangent vectors

$$D_{\alpha}F^{CD} = \Phi^{-1} (D_{\alpha}S_2 + [S_2, U_{\alpha}])\Phi.$$
 (3.17)

are linearly independent. It is straightforward to verify that the characteristics of a generalized vector field

 ω_R^S , written in evalutionary form, associated with a gauge symmetry S_2 , can be expressed as

$$A_{\alpha} = D_{\alpha}S_2 + [S_2, U_{\alpha}] \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.18}$$

The matrices A_{α} identically satisfy the determining equations (2.21) which are required for ω_R^S to be a generalized symmetry of the ZCC $\Omega[u] = 0$

$$D_2 A_1 - D_1 A_2 + [A_1, U_2] + [U_1, A_2]$$

= pr $\omega_R^S (D_2 U_1 - D_1 U_2 + [U_1, U_2]) = 0, \quad (3.19)$

whenever $\Omega[u] = 0$ holds. Hence, the vector field ω_R^S associated with a gauge symmetry S_2 is given by

$$\omega_R^S = \left(D_\alpha S_2 + [S_2, U_\alpha] \right)^j \frac{\partial}{\partial U_\alpha^j}, \qquad (3.20)$$

where we have decomposed the matrix functions A_{α} and U_{α} in the basis $\{e_j\}_1^n$ for the Lie algebra

$$U_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}^{j} e_{j} \in \mathfrak{g},$$
$$D_{\alpha}S_{2} + [S_{2}, U_{\alpha}] = \left(D_{\alpha}S_{2} + [S_{2}, U_{\alpha}]\right)^{j} e_{j}.$$
 (3.21)

Hence, for any smooth \mathfrak{g} -valued gauge $S_2([u], \lambda)$ there exists a generalized symmetry ω_R^S of the ZCC (2.14) and the converse statement holds as well.

Comparing the FG formula for immersion (3.14) with the CD immersion formula (3.5) we find the gauge

$$S_2 = (\operatorname{pr}\omega_R \Phi)\Phi^{-1}. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence the FG formula for immersion (2.29) is equivalent to the CD immersion formula (2.28) for the gauge S_2 satisfying (3.9).

3.3. The Sym-Tafel immersion formula versus the Fokas-Gel'fand immersion formula

Under the assumptions of Propositions 1 and 2, we have the following result.

Proposition 3. Let S_1 and S_2 be the two g-valued matrix functions determined in Propositions 1 and 2, respectively in terms of a λ -conformal symmetry and a generalized symmetry of the ZCC (2.14) of the LSP associated with an integrable system $\Omega[u] = 0$.

If the gauge S_2 is a non-singular matrix then there exists a matrix $M = S_1 S_2^{-1}$ such that

$$\beta(\lambda)(D_{\lambda}\Phi) = M(\operatorname{pr}\omega_{R}\Phi). \tag{3.23}$$

The matrix M defines a mapping from the FG immersion formula (3.14) to the ST immersion formula (3.3).

Alternatively, if the gauge S_1 is a non-singular matrix then there exists a matrix M^{-1} such that

$$(\operatorname{pr}\omega_R\Phi) = M^{-1}\beta(\lambda)(D_\lambda\Phi). \qquad (3.24)$$

The matrix M^{-1} defines a mapping from the ST immersion formula (3.3) to the FG immersion formula (3.14).



FIGURE 1. Representation of the relations between the wavefunction $\Phi \in G$ and the g-valued ST and FG formulas for immersions of 2D-soliton surfaces.

Proof. Equation (3.23) or (3.24) is obtained by eliminating the wavefunction Φ from the right-hand side of equations (3.8) and

$$\operatorname{pr}\omega_R\Phi = S_2\Phi, \qquad (3.25)$$

respectively. So the link between the immersion functions F^{ST} and F^{FG} exists, up to a g-valued gauge function.

It should be noted that in order to recover soliton surfaces, we have to perform an integration with respect to the curvilinear coordinates in the case of the FG formula. Alternatively, by using the ST immersion formula, we obtain the same soliton surface by differentiating the wavefunction Φ with respect to the spectral parameter λ . The connection between the FG and ST approaches for determining the immersion functions F^{ST} and F^{FG} of 2D-surfaces is obtained through the gauge matrix functions M or M^{-1} from the equation (3.23) or (3.24), respectively (see Fig. 1).

We can also write direct equations relating the generalized symmetries ω_R with the Sym-Tafel λ -conformal symmetry for the ZCC (2.14), eliminating the gauge $S_2([u], \lambda)$ in (3.9) by using (3.8). So we get

$$\beta(\lambda)(D_{\lambda}\Phi)U_{\alpha} - \beta(\lambda)\Phi U_{\alpha}\Phi^{-1}(D_{\lambda}\Phi) + \beta(\lambda)(D_{\lambda}U_{\alpha})\Phi + \Phi\left[-\operatorname{pr}\omega_{R}(D_{\alpha}\Phi) + U_{\alpha}(\operatorname{pr}\omega_{R}\Phi)\right]\Phi^{-1} = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

However, equations (3.26) are nonlinear differential equations for the wavefunction Φ , which in general are not easy to solve.

To conclude, in all three cases we give explicit expressions for 2D-soliton surfaces immersed in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and demonstrate that one such surface can be transformed to another one through a gauge.

4. The sigma model and soliton surfaces

For the sake of generality we start by considering the general $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ model. The problem of constructing integrable surfaces associated with the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ models and their deformations under various types of dynamics have generated a great deal of interest over the past decades [1, 23, 37]. The most fruitful approach to the study of general properties of this model has

been formulated through descriptions of the model in terms of rank-one Hermitian projectors. A matrix $P(z, \bar{z})$ is said to be a rank-one Hermitian projector if

$$P^2 = P, \qquad P = P^{\dagger}, \qquad \text{tr} P = 1.$$
 (4.1)

The target space of the projector P is determined by a complex line in \mathbb{C}^N , i.e. by a one-dimensional vector function $f(z, \bar{z})$ given by

$$P = \frac{f \otimes f^{\dagger}}{f^{\dagger} f}, \qquad (4.2)$$

where f is the mapping $\mathbb{C} \supseteq \Omega \ni z = x + iy \mapsto f = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{N-1})\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Equation (4.2) gives an isomorphism between the equivalence classes of the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ model and the set of rank-one Hermitian projectors P. The equations of motion

$$\Omega(P) = [\partial_{+}\partial_{-}P, P] = 0,$$

$$\partial_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{1} \pm i\partial_{2}), \qquad \partial_{1} = \partial_{x}, \quad \partial_{2} = \partial_{y} \quad (4.3)$$

and other properties of the model take a compact form when the model is written in terms of the projector.

Now we present some examples which illustrate the theoretical considerations presented in the previous section. Our first example shows that the integrated form of the surface associated with the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ model admits conformal symmetries which depend on two arbitrary functions of one complex variable. This model is defined on the Riemann sphere $S^2 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and its action functional is finite [37]. An entire class of solutions of (4.3) is obtained by acting on the holomorphic (or anti-holomorphic) solution P [10] with raising and lowering operators. These operators are given by

$$\Pi_{\pm}(P) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\partial_{\pm}P)P(\partial_{\mp}P)}{\operatorname{tr}(\partial_{\pm}PP\partial_{\mp}P)} & \text{for } (\partial_{\pm}P)P(\partial_{\mp}P) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } (\partial_{\pm}P)P(\partial_{\mp}P) = 0, \end{cases}$$
$$\Pi_{-}(P_{k}) = P_{k-1}, \qquad \Pi_{+}(P_{k}) = P_{k+1}. \tag{4.4}$$

The set of N rank-1 projectors $\{P_0, \ldots, P_{N-1}\}$ acts on orthogonal complements of one-dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{C}^N and satisfy the orthogonality and completeness relations

$$P_j P_k = \delta_{jk} P_j$$
, (no summation) and $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_j = \mathbb{I}_N$,
(4.5)

where \mathbb{I}_N is the $N \times N$ identity matrix on \mathbb{C}^N . These projectors provide a basis of commuting elements in the space of the Hermitian matrices on \mathbb{C}^N and satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation (written in the form of a conservation law)

$$\partial[\bar{\partial}P_k, P_k] + \bar{\partial}[\partial P_k, P_k] = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$
(4.6)

where $\partial = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)$ and $\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)$. For a given set of rank-1 projector solutions P_k of (4.6) the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -valued generalized Weierstrass formula for immersion (GWFI) [20]

$$F_k(z,\bar{z}) = i \int_{\gamma} (-[\partial P_k, P_k] dz + [\bar{\partial} P_k, P_k] d\bar{z}),$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (4.7)$$

(where γ is a curve locally independent of the trajectory in \mathbb{C}) can be explicitly integrated [10]

$$F_k(z,\bar{z}) = -i\left(P_k + 2\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j\right) + \frac{1+2k}{N}\mathbb{I}_N.$$
 (4.8)

The immersion functions F_k satisfy the algebraic conditions

$$[F_k - ic_k \mathbb{I}_N][F_k - i(c_k - 1)\mathbb{I}_N][F_k - i(c_k - 2)\mathbb{I}_N] = 0, 0 < k < N - 1, [F_0 - ic_0 \mathbb{I}_N][F_0 - i(c_0 - 1)\mathbb{I}_N] = 0, [F_{N-1} + ic_0 \mathbb{I}_N][F_{N-1} + i(c_0 - 1)\mathbb{I}_N] = 0, \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (-1)^j F_j = 0, c_k = \frac{1}{N}(1 + 2k).$$
(4.9)

The LSP associated with (4.6) is given by [27, 36]

$$\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{k} = U_{\alpha k}\Phi_{k}, \qquad U_{\alpha k} = \frac{2}{1\pm\lambda}[\partial_{\alpha}P_{k}, P_{k}],$$
$$(U_{1k})^{\dagger} = -U_{2k}, \qquad (4.10)$$

(where $\alpha = 1, 2$ stands for \pm) with soliton solution $\Phi_k = \Phi_k([P], \lambda) \in SU(N)$ which goes to \mathbb{I}_N as $\lambda \to \infty$ [36, 37]

$$\Phi_k = \mathbb{I}_N + \frac{4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j - \frac{2}{1-\lambda} P_k,$$

$$\Phi_k^{-1} = \mathbb{I}_N - \frac{4\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j - \frac{2}{1+\lambda} P_k,$$

$$\lambda = it, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (4.11)

The recurrence relation (4.4) is expressed in terms of rank-1 projectors P_k , without any reference to the sequence of functions f_k as in (4.2). For the sake of simplicity, in this section, we drop the index k attributed to the N projectors P_k .

It is convenient for computational purposes to express the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ model in terms of the matrix

$$\theta \equiv i \left(P - \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{I}_N \right) = \theta^s e_s \in \mathfrak{su}(N),$$
$$[e_j, e_l] = C_{jl}^s e_s, \quad j, l, s = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1, \qquad (4.12)$$

where C_{jl}^s are the structural constants of \mathfrak{g} and e_s is the basis element for the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra. Due to the indempotency of the projector P we get the following algebraic restriction on θ :

$$\theta \cdot \theta = -i\frac{2-N}{N}\theta + \frac{1-N}{N^2}\mathbb{I}_N \iff P^2 = P. \quad (4.13)$$

The equations of motion in terms of the matrix θ are

$$\Omega^{j}[\theta] = \left[(\partial_{1}^{2} + \partial_{2}^{2})\theta, \theta \right]^{j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N^{2} - 1$$
(4.14)

where $[\cdot, \cdot]^j$ denotes the coefficients of the commutator with respect to the j^{th} basis element e_j for the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra. The potential matrices U_{α} in terms of θ are

$$U_{1} = \frac{-2}{1 - \lambda^{2}} \left([\partial_{1}\theta, \theta] - i\lambda[\partial_{2}\theta, \theta] \right),$$

$$U_{2} = \frac{-2}{1 - \lambda^{2}} \left(i\lambda[\partial_{1}\theta, \theta] + [\partial_{2}\theta, \theta] \right),$$

$$\lambda = it, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(4.15)

The wavefunction Φ in terms of θ is

$$\Phi([\theta], \lambda) = \mathbb{I}_N + \frac{4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Pi_-^j(\theta) - \frac{2}{1-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{I}_N - i\theta\right) \in SU(N), \quad (4.16)$$

where Π_{\pm} are the raising and lowering operators acting on the elements θ of the algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N)$

$$\Pi_{-}(\theta_k) = \theta_{k-1}, \qquad \Pi_{+}(\theta_k) = \theta_{k+1}. \tag{4.17}$$

In what follows, we use the simplified notation of $\Pi_{\pm}(\theta_k)$ by $\Pi_{\pm}(\theta)$, where the index k is suppressed. The operators (4.17) are written explicitly as

$$\Pi_{-}(\theta) = \frac{\bar{\partial}\theta(\mathcal{E} - i\theta)\partial\theta}{\operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial}\theta(\mathcal{E} - i\theta)\partial\theta)},$$
$$\Pi_{+}(\theta) = \frac{\partial\theta(\mathcal{E} - i\theta)\bar{\partial}\theta}{\operatorname{tr}(\partial\theta(\mathcal{E} - i\theta)\bar{\partial}\theta)},$$
$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{I}_{N}, \qquad (4.18)$$

where the traces in the denominators are different from zero unless the whole matrix is zero. For any functions f and g of one variable, the equations of motion (4.14) and their LSP (2.15) (with the potential matrices (4.15)) admit the conformal symmetries

$$\omega_{C_i} = \left[f(x)\partial_1 \theta^j + g(y)\partial_2 \theta^j \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$
(4.19)

The vector fields ω_{C_i} are related to the fields η_{c_i} defined on the jet space $\mathcal{M} = [(\Phi, U_\alpha)]$

$$\eta_{C_i} = (\partial_i \Phi^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^j} + (\partial_i U^j_\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial U^j_\alpha}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (4.20)$$

which are conformal symmetries of the LSP (2.15). The integrated form of the surface is given by the FG formula [15]

$$F^{FG} = \Phi^{-1} (f(x)U_1 + g(y)U_2) \Phi \in \mathfrak{su}(N). \quad (4.21)$$

4.1. Soliton surfaces associated

WITH THE $\mathbb{C}P^1$ SIGMA MODEL

We give a simple example to illustrate the construction of 2D-soliton surfaces associated with the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model (N = 2) introduced in previous section. The only solutions with finite action of the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model are holomorphic and antiholomorphic projectors [37]. The rank-one Hermitian projectors (i.e. holomorphic P_0 and antiholomorphic P_1) based on the Veronese sequence $f_0 = (1, z)$, take the form

$$P_{0} = \frac{f_{0} \otimes f_{0}^{\dagger}}{f_{0}^{\dagger} f_{0}} = \frac{1}{1+|z|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z} \\ z & |z|^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$P_{1} = \frac{f_{1} \otimes f_{1}^{\dagger}}{f_{1}^{\dagger} f_{1}} = \frac{1}{1+|z|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{2} & -\bar{z} \\ -z & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.22)$$

where $f_1 = (\mathbb{I}_2 - P_0)\partial f_0$. The corresponding integrated forms of the surfaces are given by the GWFI (4.8)

$$F_{0} = i(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{I}_{2} - P_{0})$$

$$= \frac{i}{1+|z|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(|z|^{2}-1) & -\bar{z} \\ -z & \frac{1}{2}(1-|z|^{2}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{su}(2),$$

$$F_{1} = -i(P_{1}+2P_{0}) + \frac{3i}{2}\mathbb{I}_{2} = F_{0}.$$
(4.23)

From equation (4.10) the potential matrices $U_{\alpha k}$ become

$$U_{10} = U_{11} = \frac{2}{(\lambda+1)(1+|z|^2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z} & -\bar{z}^2 \\ 1 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_{20} = U_{21} = \frac{2}{(\lambda-1)(1+|z|^2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -z & 1 \\ -z^2 & z \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda = it, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (4.24)

The SU(2)-valued soliton wavefunctions Φ_k in the LSP (4.10) for the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model have the form

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{1+|z|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-i+t+(i+t)|z|^{2}}{t-i} & \frac{-2i\bar{z}}{t-i} \\ \frac{-2iz}{t+i} & \frac{i+t+(t-i)|z|^{2}}{t+i} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_{1} = \frac{1}{1+|z|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+t^{2}+(t+i)^{2}|z|^{2}}{(t-i)^{2}} & \frac{2(1-it)\bar{z}}{(t-i)^{2}} \\ \frac{-2i(t-i)z}{(t+i)^{2}} & \frac{1+t^{2}+(t-i)^{2}|z|^{2}}{(t+i)^{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.25)

Let us now consider separately four different analytic descriptions for the immersion functions of 2Dsoliton surfaces in Lie algebras which are related to four different types of symmetries.

I. The ZCC (2.14) of the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model admits a conformal symmetry in the spectral parameter λ . The tangent vectors $D_{\alpha}F_k^{ST}$ associated with this symmetry are given by

$$D_{\alpha}F_{k}^{ST} = \Phi_{k}^{-1}(D_{\lambda}U_{\alpha k})\Phi_{k}, \qquad \alpha, k = 1, 2$$

and are linearly independent. The integrated forms of the 2D-surfaces in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ are given by the ST formulas

(2.27)

$$F_0^{ST} = \Phi_0^{-1}(D_\lambda \Phi_0) = \frac{2i}{(1+t^2)^2(1+|z|^2)^2} \\ \cdot \left(-|z|^2[t^2 - 3 + |z|^2(1+t^2)] \\ z[(t-i)^2 + |z|^2(3 - 2it + t^2)] \\ |z|^2[t^2 - 3 + |z|^2(t^2 + 1)] \right),$$

$$F_1^{ST} = \Phi_1^{-1}(D_\lambda \Phi_1) = \frac{2i}{(1+t^2)^2(1+|z|^2)^2} \\ \cdot \left(-[(t^2 + 1)(1+2|z|^4) + 3|z|^2(t^2 - 3)] \\ z[t^2 - 6it - 5 + |z|^2(7 + 6it + t^2)] \\ (t^2 + 1)(1+2|z|^4) + 3|z|^2(t^2 - 3) \right), \quad (4.26)$$

where, without loss of generality, we can put $\beta(\lambda) = 1$ in the expression (2.27). The surfaces F_k^{ST} satisfy

$$(F_k^{ST})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{I}_2 = 0,$$

for k = 0, 1 and have positive constant Gaussian and mean curvatures. Hence, they are spheres (see Fig. 2a)

$$K_0 = K_1 = 4, \qquad H_0 = H_1 = 4.$$
 (4.27)

The surfaces F_k^{ST} in Cartesian coordinates (x, y) take the form for z = x + iy

$$F_k^{ST} = \left\{ \frac{x}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{y}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{1-x^2-y^2}{2(1+x^2+y^2)} \right\}$$
(4.28)

The $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued gauges S_k^{ST} associated with the ST immersion functions F_k^{ST} (4.26) take the form

$$S_0^{ST} = (D_\lambda \Phi_0) \Phi_0^{-1} = \frac{2i}{1+|z|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-|z|^2}{t^2+1} & \frac{\bar{z}}{(t-i)^2} \\ \frac{z}{(t+i)^2} & \frac{|z|^2}{t^2+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S_1^{ST} = (D_\lambda \Phi_1) \Phi_1^{-1} = \frac{2i}{1+|z|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-(1+2|z|^2)}{t^2+1} & \frac{\bar{z}(t+i)^2}{(t-i)^4} \\ \frac{z(t-i)^2}{(t+i)^4} & \frac{1+2|z|^2}{t^2+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det S_k^{ST} \neq 0, \quad \operatorname{tr} S_k^{ST} = 0. \quad (4.29)$$

II. The surfaces $F_k^g \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ associated with the scaling symmetries of the ZCC (2.14) associated with equations of the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model (4.6)

$$\omega_k^g = \left(D_1(zU_{1k}) + \bar{z}(D_2U_{1k}) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^1} \\ + \left(z(D_1U_{2k}) + D_2(\bar{z}U_{2k}) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^2}, \quad (4.30)$$

have the integrated form [14]

$$F_k^g = \Phi_k^{-1} (zU_{1k} + \bar{z}U_{2k}) \Phi_k, \qquad k = 0, 1 \quad (4.31)$$

where θ^1 and θ^2 are complex-valued functions determined from the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ Lie algebra (4.12). The surfaces F_k^g also have constant positive curvatures

$$K_0 = K_1 = -4\lambda^2, \quad H_0 = H_1 = -4i\lambda, \quad i\lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(4.32)

The surfaces F_k^g are not spheres (as in the previous cases (4.27)) since they have boundaries (see Fig. 2b). The surfaces F_k^g can be given in the parametric form

$$F_k^g = \left(\frac{x^3 - 2x^2y + x(y^2 - 1) - 2y(1 + y^2)}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^2}, -\frac{2x^3 + x^2y + y(y^2 - 1) + 2x(1 + y^2)}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^2}, -\frac{2(x^2 + y^2)}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^2}\right). \quad (4.33)$$

The $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued gauges $S_k^g = (\operatorname{pr} \omega^g \Phi_k) \Phi_k^{-1}$ associated with the scaling symmetries ω_k^g are given by

$$S_0^g = S_1^g = \frac{2}{(t^2+1)(1+|z|^2)^2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2it|z|^2 & i\bar{z}[i-t+|z|^2(t+i)]\\ z[1-it+|z|^2(1+it)] & -2it|z|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.34)

where det $S_k^g \neq 0$.

III. In the case of surfaces associated with the conformal symmetries

$$\omega_k^c = -g_k(z)\partial - \bar{g}_k(\bar{z})\bar{\partial}, \qquad (4.35)$$

where for simplicity we have assumed that $g_k(z) = 1 + i$, the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued immersion functions F_k^c are given by [12]

$$F_k^c = \Phi_k^{-1} (U_{1k} + U_{2k}) \Phi_k, \qquad (4.36)$$

where

$$U_{10} + U_{20} = U_{11} + U_{21} = \frac{2}{(t^2 + 1)(1 + |z|^2)^2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2z + i(t+i)(z+\bar{z}) & -1 - it + i\bar{z}^2(t+i)\\ 1 + z^2 + it(z^2 - 1) & -[2z + i(t+i)(z+\bar{z})] \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.37)

By further computation it can be verified that the Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature corresponding to the surfaces F_k^c are not constant for any value of λ . The fact that the surfaces F_k^c have the Euler-Poincaré characters [10]

$$\chi_k = \frac{-1}{\pi} \iint_{S^2} \partial \bar{\partial} \ln \left[tr(\partial P_k \cdot \bar{\partial} P_k) \right] dx^1 dx^2 \quad (4.38)$$

equal to 2 and positive Gaussian curvature K > 0means that the surfaces F_k^c are homeomorphic to ovaloids (see Fig. 2c). The surfaces F_k^c associated with the conformal symmetries ω_k^c are cardioid surfaces which can be parametrized as follows

$$F_k^c = \left(\frac{x^2 - 1 - 4xy - y^2}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^2}, -\frac{2(1 + x^2 + xy - y^2)}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^2}, \frac{2(2x - y)}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^2}\right) \quad (4.39)$$

The $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued gauges S_k^c associated with the conformal symmetries ω_k^c take the form

$$S_{0}^{c} = (\operatorname{pr} \omega^{c} \Phi_{0}) \Phi_{0}^{-1} = \frac{2}{(1+|z|^{2})^{2}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-i(1-i)(t-i)z+(1+i)(1-it)\bar{z}}{t^{2}+1} \\ \frac{i(1+i)(t+i)-(1-i)z^{2}(t-i)}{(t+i)^{2}} \\ \frac{i(1-i)(1+it)+(1+i)(1-it)\bar{z}^{2}}{(1-i)(1+it)z+i(1+i)(t+i)\bar{z}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S_{1}^{c} = (\operatorname{pr} \omega^{c} \Phi_{1}) \Phi_{1}^{-1} = \frac{2}{(1+|z|^{2})^{2}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-i(1-i)(t-i)z+(1+i)(1-it)\bar{z}}{t^{2}+1} \\ \frac{i(t-i)^{2}[(1+i)(t+i)-(1-i)(t-i)z^{2}]}{(t+i)^{4}} \\ \frac{i(t-i)^{2}[(1+i)(t+i)-(1-i)(t-i)z^{2}]}{(t+i)^{4}} \\ \frac{i(t-i)^{2}[(1-i)(1+it)+(1+i)(1-it)\bar{z}^{2}]}{(t-i)^{4}} \\ \frac{i(1-i)(1+it)z+i(1+i)(t+i)\bar{z}}{t^{2}+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.40)$$

where det $S_k^c \neq 0$.

IV. If the generalized symmetries of the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model (4.6) are written in the evolutionary form

$$\omega_k^R = \left(D_1^2 U_{1k} + D_2^2 U_{1k} + [D_1 U_{1k}, U_{1k}] + [D_2 U_{1k}, U_{1k}]\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^1} + \left(D_1^2 U_{2k} + D_2^2 U_{2k} + [D_2 U_{2k}, U_{2k}] + [D_1 U_{2k}, U_{2k}]\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^2}, \quad k = 1, 2 \quad (4.41)$$

(where θ^1 and θ^2 are complex-valued functions obtained from (4.12)) then the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued integrated form of the immersion becomes [14]

$$F_k^{FG} = \Phi^{-1}(\operatorname{pr}\omega_k^R \Phi_k) = \Phi_k^{-1}(D_1 U_{1k} + D_2 U_{2k})\Phi_k.$$
(4.42)

The tangent vectors to this surface are given by

$$D_1 F_k^{FG} = \Phi_k^{-1} (\operatorname{pr} \omega_k^R U_{1k}) \Phi_k = \Phi_k^{-1} (D_1^2 U_{1k} + D_2^2 U_{1k} + [D_1 U_{1k}, U_{1k}] + [D_2 U_{1k}, U_{1k}]) \Phi_k,$$
$$D_2 F^{FG} = \Phi^{-1} (\operatorname{pr} (\omega^R U_{2k}) \Phi_k) = \Phi^{-1} (D_2^2 U_{2k} + D_2^2 U_{2k})$$

$$D_2 F_k^{TG} = \Phi_k^{-1} (\operatorname{pr} \omega_k^T U_{2k}) \Phi_k = \Phi_k^{-1} (D_1^2 U_{2k} + D_2^2 U_{2k}) + [D_2 U_{2k}, U_{2k}] + [D_1 U_{2k}, U_{2k}]) \Phi_k.$$
(4.43)

The surfaces F_k^{FG} also have positive Gaussian curvatures K > 0 and the Euler-Poincaré characters are equal to 2. In the parametrization x, y, the surfaces F_k^{FG} take the form

$$F_k^{FG} = \left(-\frac{x^3 - 6x^2y - x(1+3y^2) + 2y(1+y^2)}{(1+x^2+y^2)^3}, \frac{2x^3 + y + 3x^2y - y^3 + x(2-6y^2)}{(1+x^2+y^2)^3}, -\frac{2(x^2 - 4xy - y^2)}{(1+x^2+y^2)^3}\right) \quad (4.44)$$

and they are homeomorphic to ovaloids.

The $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued gauges S_k^{FG} associated with the generalized symmetry ω_k^R take the form

$$S_k^{FG} = (\operatorname{pr} \omega_k^R \Phi_k) \Phi_k^{-1} = D_1 U_{1k} + D_2 U_{2k}. \quad (4.45)$$

Under the assumption that P_k are holomorphic or antiholomorphic projectors (4.22) the gauges S_k^{FG} take the form

$$S_0^{FG} = S_1^{FG} = \frac{4}{(t^2 + 1)(1 + |z|^2)^3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -z^2(1 + it) + \bar{z}^2(1 - it) & \bar{z}^3(1 - it) + z(it + 1) \\ -iz^3(t - i) + i\bar{z}(t + i) & z^2(1 + it) - \bar{z}^2(1 - it) \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.46)

where det $S_k^{FG} \neq 0$. Hence the mappings $M_k = S_k^{ST}(S_k^{FG})^{-1}$ from the FG immersion formulas to the ST immersion formulas are given by

$$\begin{split} M_{0} &= S_{0}^{ST} (S_{0}^{FG})^{-1} = \frac{1}{2(t^{2}+1)} \\ &\cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-2iz^{3}(t-i)+\bar{z}[(t+i)^{2}+|z|^{2}(t^{2}+1)]}{z(t-i)} \\ -\frac{[z^{3}(1+t^{2})+2\bar{z}(1-it)+z(t-i)^{2}]}{t+i} \\ \frac{z[(t+i)^{2}+(t^{2}+1)|z|^{2}+2(1+it)]}{z(t-i)} \\ \frac{z(t-i)^{2}+|z|^{2}z(t^{2}+1)+2i\bar{z}^{3}(t+i)}{\bar{z}(t+i)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{1} &= S_{1}^{ST} (S_{1}^{FG})^{-1} = \frac{i}{2|z|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-(1+2|z|^{2})}{t^{2}+1} & \frac{(i+t)^{2}\bar{z}}{(t-i)^{4}} \\ \frac{z(t-i)^{2}}{(t+i)^{4}} & \frac{1+2|z|^{2}}{t^{2}+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} z^{2}(it+1)+\bar{z}^{2}(it-1) & -z(it+1)+\bar{z}^{3}(it-1) \\ z^{3}(it+1)+(1-it)\bar{z} & -z^{2}(1+it)+(1-it)\bar{z}^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \end{split}$$

where det $M_k \neq 0$. Conversely, the gauges $M_k^{-1} = S_k^{FG} (S_k^{ST})^{-1}$ do exist. Hence there exist mappings from the ST immersion formulas to the FG immersion formulas

$$M_0^{-1} = S_0^{FG} (S_0^{ST})^{-1} = \frac{2}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.48)

where

$$\begin{split} m_{11} &= \frac{(t-i)^2 z + (1+t^2) |z|^2 z + 2(it-1)\bar{z}^3}{(i+t)\bar{z}}, \\ m_{12} &= \frac{2(1+it)z^2 + (i+t)^2 \bar{z}^2 + (1+t^2) |z|^2 \bar{z}^2}{(i-t)z}, \\ m_{21} &= \frac{(t-i)^2 z^2 + (1+t^2) |z|^2 z^2 + 2(1-it)\bar{z}^2}{(i+t)\bar{z}}, \\ m_{22} &= \frac{-2(1+it)z^3 + (i+t)^2 \bar{z} + (1+t^2) |z|^2 \bar{z}}{(t-i)z}, \end{split}$$

and



FIGURE 2. Surfaces F_0^{ST} in (a), F_0^g in (b), F_0^c in (c) and F_0^{FG} in (d) for k = 0, 1 and $\lambda = i/2$, and $\xi_{\pm} = x \pm iy$ with $x, y \in [-5, 5]$. The axes indicate the components of the immersion function in the basis for $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have shown how three different analytic descriptions for the immersion function of 2D-soliton surfaces can be related through different g-valued gauge transformations. The existence of such gauges is demonstrated by reducing the problem to that of mappings between different forms of the immersion formulas for three types of symmetries : conformal transformations in the spectral parameter, gauge symmetries of the LSP and generalized symmetries of the integrable systems. We have investigated the geometric consequences of these mappings and rephrased them as requirements for the existence of the corresponding vector fields and their prolongations acting on a solution Φ of the associated LSP for an integrable PDE. The explicit expressions for these relations, which we have established, have provided us with a tool for distinguishing between the cases in which soliton surfaces can be or cannot be related among them, see Proposition 3.

The task of finding an increasing number of soliton surfaces associated with integrable systems is related to the symmetry properties of these systems. The construction of soliton surfaces started with the contribution of Sym [33, 34] and Tafel [35] providing a formula for the immersion of integrable surfaces which are extensively used in the literature (see e.g. [2, 5–16, 30, 33–35]). In this paper we have addressed the question and formulated easily verifiable conditions which ensure that the ST formula produces a desired result. This advance can assist future studies of 2D-soliton surfaces with integrable models, which can describe more diverse types of surfaces than the ones discussed in three-dimensional Euclidean space for the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ sigma model. It may be worthwhile to extend the investigation of soliton surfaces to the case of the sigma models defined on other homogeneous spaces via Grassmann models and possibly to models associated with octonion geometry. This case could lead to different classes and types of surfaces than those studied in this paper. This task will be explored in a future work.

Acknowledgements

AMG has been partially supported by a research grant from NSERC of Canada and would also like to thank the Dipartimento di Mathematica e Fisica of the Universitá Roma Tre and the Dipartimento di Mathematica e Fisica of Universitá del Salento for its warm hospitality.

DL has been partly supported by the Italian Ministry of Education and Research, 2010 PRIN Continuous and discrete nonlinear integrable evolutions: from water waves to symplectic maps.

LM has been partly supported by the Italian Ministry of Education and Research, 2011 PRIN *Teorie geometriche e analitiche dei sistemi Hamiltoniani in dimensioni finite e infinite.*

DL and LM are also supported by INFN IS-CSN4 Mathematical Methods of Nonlinear Physics.

References

- Babelon O, Bernard D and Talon M 2006 Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems (Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics) (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) DOI:10.1017/CBO9780511535024
- [2] Bobenko AI (1994) Surfaces in terms of 2 by 2 matrices. Old and new integrable cases in Harmonic Maps and Integrable Systems, Eds Fordy A, Wood J (Braunschwieg, Vieweg) DOI:10.1007/978-3-663-14092-4_5
- [3] Calogero F and Nucci MC, Lax pairs galore, J. Math. Phys., **32** 72–74 (1991) DOI:10.1063/1.529096
- [4] Cartan E 1953 Sur la Structure des Groupes Infinis de Transformation Chapitre I. Les Systèmes Différentiels en Involution (Paris, Gauthier-Villars).
- [5] Cieśliński J 1997 A generalized formula for integrable classes of surfaces in Lie algebras Journal of Mathematical Physics 38 4255–4272, DOI:10.1063/1.532093
- [6] Cieslinski J 2007 Pseudospherical surfaces on time scales: a geometric deformation and the spectral approach J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 12525-38, DOI:10.1088/1751-8113/40/42/S02
- [7] Doliwa A and Sym A 1992 Constant mean curvature surfaces in E³ as an example of soliton surfaces, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Dynamical Systems (Boiti M, Martina L and Pempinelli F, eds, World Scientific, Singapore, pp 111-7)

- [8] Fokas A S and Gel'fand I M 1996 Surfaces on Lie groups, on Lie algebras, and their integrability *Comm. Math. Phys.* **177** 203–220
- [9] Fokas A S, Gel'fand I M, Finkel F and Liu Q M 2000 A formula for constructing infinitely many surfaces on Lie algebras and integrable equations *Sel. Math.* 6 347–375 DOI:10.1007/PL00001392
- [10] Goldstein P P and Grundland A M 2010 Invariant recurrence relations for CP^{N-1} models J. Phys. A: Math Theor. **43**, 265206 (18pp), DOI:10.1088/1751-8113/43/26/265206
- [11] Grundland AM 2016 Soliton surfaces in generalized symmetry approach J. Theor. Math. Phys. (accepted.)
- [12] Grundland A M and Post S 2011 Soliton surfaces associated with generalized symmetries of integrable equations J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor.44 165203 (31pp) DOI:10.1088/1751-8113/44/16/165203
- [13] Grundland A M and Post S 2012 Surfaces immersed in Lie algebras associated with elliptic integrals J Phys A: Math Theor 45, 015204 (20pp), DOI:10.1088/1751-8113/45/1/015204
- [14] Grundland AM and Post S 2012 Soliton surfaces associated with $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma models J. Phys. Conf. Series 380, 012023 pp 1-14, DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/380/1/012023
- [15] Grundland AM, Post S and Riglioni D 2014 Soliton surfaces and generalized symmetries of integrable systems J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor.47 015201 (14pp) DOI:10.1088/1751-8113/47/1/015201
- [16] Grundland AM and Yurdusen I 2009 On analytic descriptions of two-dimensional surfaces associated with the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 172001 DOI:10.1088/1751-8113/42/17/172001
- [17] Gubbiotti G, Scimiterna C and Levi D 2016 Linearizability and fake Lax pair for a consistent around the cube nonlinear non–autonomous quad–graph equation, *Teor. Math. Phys.*, in press.
- [18] Hay M and Butler S, Simple identification of fake Lax pair, arXiv:1311.2406v1.
- [19] Helein F 2001 Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces, Harmonic Maps and Integrable Systems (Lectures in Mathematics) (Boston, MA: Birkhauser), DOI:10.1007/978-3-0348-8330-6
- [20] Konopelchenko B G, 1996 Induced surfaces and their integrable dynamics. Stud. Appl. Math. 96, 9–51, DOI:10.1002/sapm19969619
- [21] Levi D, Sym A and Tu GZ, A working algorithm to isolate integrable surfaces in E^3 , preprint DF INFN 761, Roma Oct. 10^{th} , 1990, DOI:10.1016/0375-9601(90)90897-W
- [22] Li YQ, Li B and Lou SY, Constraints for Evolution Equations with Some Special Forms of Lax Pairs and Distinguishing Lax Pairs by Available Constraints, arXiv:1008.1375v2.
- [23] Manton N and Sutcliffe P 2004 Topological Solitons (Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics) (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), DOI:10.1017/CBO9780511617034

- [24] Marvan M 2002 On the Horizontal Gauge Cohomology and Nonremovability of the Spectral Parameter, Acta Appl. Math. 72 51–65, DOI:10.1023/A:1015218422059
- [25] Marvan M 2004 Reducibility of zero curvature representations with application to recursion operators, *Acta Appl. Math.* 83 39–68, DOI:10.1023/B:ACAP.0000035588.67805.0b
- [26] Marvan M 2010 On the spectral parameter problem, Acta Appl. Math. 109 239–255, DOI:10.1007/s10440-009-9450-4
- [27] Mikhailov AV 1986 Integrable magnetic models
 Soliton (Modern Problems in Condensed Matter vol 17)
 ed S E Trullinger et al (Amsterdam: North-Holland) pp 623-90
- [28] Mikhailov A V, Shabat A B and Sokolov V V 1991 The Symmetry Approach to Classification of Integrable Equations, in Nonlinear Dynamics, Ed Zakharov V E, Springer, pp 115-184.
- [29] Olver P J 1993 Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, 2nd edn. (New York, Springer). DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-4350-2
- [30] Rogers C and Schief WK 2000 Backlund and Darboux Transformations. Geometry and Modern

Applications in Soliton Theory (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). DOI:10.1017/CBO9780511606359

- [31] Sakovich S Yu, True and fake Lax pairs: how to distinguish them, arXiv:nlin.SI/0112027.
- [32] Sakovich S Yu, Cyclic bases of zero-curvature representations: five illustrations to one concept, arXiv:nlin/0212019v1.
- [33] Sym A, 1982 Soliton surfaces. Lett. Nuovo Cimento 33, 394-400.
- [34] Sym A, 1995 Soliton surfaces and their applications (Soliton geometry from spectral problems) Geometric Aspect of the Einstein Equation and Integrable Systems (Lectures Notes in Physics vol 239) ed R Martini (Berlin: Springer) pp 154-231. DOI:10.1007/3-540-16039-6_6
- [35] Tafel J 1995 Surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 with prescribed curvature J. Geom. Phys. 17 381-90. DOI:10.1016/0393-0440(94)00054-9
- [36] Zakharov V E and Mikhailov A V 1979 Relativistically invariant two-dimensional models of field theory which are integrable by means of the inverse scattering problem method Sov. Phys. – JETP 47 1017–49
- [37] Zakrzewski W 1989 Low Dimensional Sigma Models (Bristol: Hilger).